UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,139	12/30/2004	Hironori Yamamoto	03USFP852-K.F.	7052
	10/512,139 12/30/2004 Hiro 21254 7590 12/08/2009 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROU 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD		EXAMINER	
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200		MAPLES, JOHN S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/512,139	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John S. Maples	1795			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re roply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 A</u>	ugust 2009				
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)□	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the merits is			
٥)ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under 2	2x parte Quayre, 1505 C.D. 11, 40	.5 0.5. 215.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 and 17-32 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-9, 17-19</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 20-30</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
♥/□	are subject to restriction and/o	r clossoff roquiroment.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,			
,—	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/2009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/512,139 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bannai et al.-US 7,118,831. (Bannai)

Reference is made to the Abstract of Bannai along with Figure 4 and column 8, line 8 through column 9, line 32. These portions teach a multi-layered anode for a secondary battery including a first layer of carbon 21 (the lower layer in Figure 4), a second layer 21/22 (the uppermost layers 21/22 in Figure 4 where 21 is carbon and 22 includes Si). The third layer may comprise the uppermost layer 23 which is lithium or a lithium compound or the third layer may be the lowermost layer 22 which may comprise Li₂O as set forth in column 8, lines 40-42 which states that lithium chalcogenide may be present in layer 22 (see column 8, lines 35-43). With regard to claim 27, the lower layer 22 may comprise the same.

Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant's main argument is that Bannai does not teach the claimed second layer including at least the claimed second element. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 1795

Bannai sets forth the claimed second layer 21/22 as set forth in the previous paragraph of this action.

As noted by the examiner, the second layer in Bannai includes 21 and 22 which applicant asserts cannot comprise the claimed second layer. This is not convincing because there is nothing in the currently claimed subject matter that precludes 21/22 in Bannai from being the second layer and thus meeting applicant's subject matter. This second layer 21/22 in Bannai includes the elements carbon and silicon as outlined in the previous paragraph of this action, contrary to applicant's assertion that 21/22 do not include both carbon and silicon.

3. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsubara et al.-US 6,733,922. (Matsubara) (New Rejection)

Reference is made to the Abstract in Matsubara along with Figures 1-5 and column 7, line 28 through column 12, line 21. These portions of Matsubara teach an anode for a secondary battery including a first layer 2 comprising carbon and a second layer comprising carbon 4 and silicon 3, thus meeting the claimed subject matter of both claims 1 and 20.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,139 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,139 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John S. Maples/

John S. Maples Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

JSM/12-6-2009